Imune correlates of vaccine protection against HIV-1 acquisition
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The partial efficacy reported in the RV144 HIV vaccine trial in 2009 has driven the HIV vaccine field to define correlates of risk associated with HIV-1 acquisition and connect these functionally to preventing HIV infection. Immunological correlates, mainly including CD4+ T cell responses to the HIV envelope and Fc-mediated antibody effector function, have been connected to reduced acquisition. These immunological correlates place immunological and genetic pressure on the virus. Indeed, antibodies directed at conserved regions of the V1V2 loop and antibodies that mediate antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity to HIV envelope in the absence of inhibiting serum immunoglobulin A antibodies correlated with decreased HIV risk. More recently, researchers have expanded their search with nonhuman primate studies using vaccine regimens that differ from that used in RV144; these studies indicate that non-neutralizing antibodies are associated with protection from experimental lentivirus challenge as well. These immunological correlates have provided the basis for the design of a next generation of vaccine regimens to improve upon the qualitative and quantitative degree of magnitude of these immune responses on HIV acquisition.

INTRODUCTION

Over 30 years into the HIV-1 pandemic, the need for a globally effective HIV-1 vaccine is more compelling than ever. Biomedical interventions to reduce HIV-1 acquisition, such as population-based antiretroviral therapy, large-scale circumcision programs, pre- and post-exposure prophylaxis with tenofovir-based regimens for high-risk individuals, and the use of antiretroviral drugs to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 have favorably influenced the trajectory of HIV-1 infections in several populations throughout the world (1). However, microepidemics of HIV-1 persist throughout all affected countries, and overall population prevalence of HIV-1 remains relatively stable, including in the United States and Europe (2, 3), and UNAIDS (Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS) estimates that there were 2.1 million new infections in 2013 (4).

Vaccines are historically the primary public health intervention for prevention of a wide range of infectious diseases and thus would provide the most cost-effective, durable, and accepted approach to reduce HIV-1 infection. However, developing a safe and effective HIV-1 vaccine has proven to be a considerable scientific challenge (5, 6). A milestone in the field of HIV-1 vaccine development was achieved in September 2009 with the report of the RV144 trial, which evaluated a regimen consisting of a replication-defective canarypox vector (ALVAC) in combination with a recombinant gp120 protein (AIDSVAX), administered intramuscularly to more than 16,000 heterosexually active men and women at risk of HIV-1 infection in Thailand (7). This regimen demonstrated a statistically significant, albeit modest, reduction in HIV-1 acquisitions. Although the first-year vaccine efficacy (VE) approached 60%, efficacy waned over time and overall VE over the 3.5 years of the trial was 31.2%.

The results of this trial were, in many quarters, quite unexpected. Preclinical testing had indicated that ALVAC was less immunogenic than many other candidate prototypes in human testing, such as recombinant adenovirus vectors (8, 9), and the AIDSVAX clade B/E protein vaccine, used as a boost to the ALVAC vector, had proven ineffective when used alone in preventing HIV-1 among intravenous drug users in Bangkok (8–10). Moreover, a bivalent clade B gp120 recombinant protein vaccine was ineffective in reducing acquisition of HIV-1 in men who have sex with men (MSM) in North America, Australia, and Netherlands (11). That the combination of these two immunogens would achieve a statistically significant reduction in HIV-1 acquisition among heterosexual Thai men and women was met with surprise and, by some, skepticism, especially because the number of infections was relatively small as compared to the size of the large-trial participant population studied (125 infections in 16,395 participants, of which 51 infections occurred in 8197 vaccinees).

Shortly after announcing the results, the organizers of the RV144 trial [the U.S. Military HIV Research Program (USMHRP) and the Thai government] in collaboration with the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), the primary funder of the trial, mobilized a major scientific effort to evaluate whether one could define immune responses among vaccinated individuals that were associated with protection, with the goal of developing biological underpinnings and/or hypotheses that could lead to a better understanding of the trial findings. Could these studies provide a road map to construct future iterations of HIV-1 vaccine regimens that could improve upon the RV144 outcome? Defining such responses would markedly enhance the ability to construct vaccine regimens that could be tested in areas of the world with markedly higher prevalence and higher exposure rates than those in Thailand.

Over the last 4 years, an international collaboration has produced a substantial number of provocative scientific findings that raise the hypothesis that antibody-mediated protection played the predominant role in the efficacy observed in the RV144 trial. This article will outline these findings, describe how follow-up vaccine trials, planned to be conducted predominantly in southern Africa, are being designed to build upon these results, and hopefully provide both benchmarks.
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and additional insights into how to develop the globally effective vaccines that are needed to successfully reduce HIV-1 acquisition worldwide.

DEFINING CORRELATES OF IMMUNITY

The process of defining correlates of immunity associated with vaccination in the RV144 trial was driven by both laboratory scientists and statisticians and followed an analysis plan that included examining a large variety of laboratory assays so that statistical validity could be defined from the available samples. The overall strategy used a case-control format in which specimens at the week 26 visit (2 weeks after last vaccination) from all 41 vaccine recipients who acquired HIV-1 infection after week 26 were included. For comparison, week 26 specimens from a random sample of 205 controls (vaccine recipients who were HIV-1–negative at the end of the study follow-up period at month 42) were also included. In addition, specimens from 40 placebo recipients were included.

Before beginning the case-control study, a pilot study, which was conducted between November 2009 and July 2011, evaluated 32 assays from 20 immunology laboratories of innate immunity, T cell, and antibody responses on four criteria: low false-positive rate (comparing vaccine responses to baseline and to placebo responses), broad variability of responses across vaccinees, low correlation of responses (nonredundant responses), and high reproducibility of within-vaccinee replicate samples. The pilot study assessed samples from both vaccine (n = 80 or n = 40) and placebo (n = 20 or n = 10) recipients who were HIV-1–negative at month 42. Seventeen assays measuring a wide range of both antibody and T cell responses were chosen for this initial case-control evaluation, from which six immune response variables were prespecified for the primary correlate analysis, which then provided evidence for their association with VE: (i) the binding of immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies to the variable loops 1 and 2 (V1V2) region of gp120; (ii) the binding of plasma IgA antibodies to envelope (Env); (iii) the avidity of IgG antibodies for Env; (iv) antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC); (v) neutralizing antibodies; and (vi) the magnitude of CD4+ T cells specific for HIV-1 Env. Immune responses (i) and (ii) were significantly associated with VE after multiplicity correction. In secondary analyses without multiplicity correction, immune responses (iii) to (vi) were also significantly associated with VE in vaccinees with low plasma IgA responses.

NON-NEUTRALIZING ANTIBODIES AND CORRELATES OF EFFICACY

The observed protective efficacy in RV144 was surprising given the low titer and narrow scope of neutralizing antibodies elicited by the RV144 vaccine regimen (8, 12, 13). None of the sera from RV144 recipients, even at peak levels of antibody response, neutralized a panel of 20 contemporaneous isolates of HIV-1 circulating in Thailand during the course of the trial. Although neutralizing antibodies were uncommon, essentially all RV144 vaccine recipients developed binding antibodies to gp120 (12). Titers of these antibodies peaked shortly after the 6-month boost and, as seen in Fig. 1, rapidly waned over time, a pattern that tracked the degree of VE. To pursue whether particular regions of gp120 were more closely associated with protection, several linear and conformational epitopes were evaluated in both the pilot and case-control studies. Postvaccination sera tested against a linear peptide array derived from the A244 CF01_AE strain of HIV-1 (used in both the ALVAC vector and gp120 protein) exhibited a high binding pattern to peptides in the V1V2 and V3 regions of the HIV-1 Env, a pattern of reactivity that differed considerably from HIV-1–infected patients (14, 15). The secondary case-control analysis indicated that IgG antibody responses to a series of relatively conserved amino acids on the crown

![Fig. 1. Kinetics of vaccine-induced antibody response and vaccine protection in RV144. Schematic representation of selected immune responses to vaccination with RV144 regimen ALVAC (pox) followed by gp120 (protein).]
of the V1V2 loop (residues 163 to 178) were associated with reduced HIV-1 acquisition [relative risk (RR) = 0.57 for reactive versus non-reactive vaccinees; VE for reactive vaccinees = 43% over the 3.5-year study]. Because of insertion of a herpes simplex virus glycoprotein D sequence used for purification of the gp120, the A244 CF01_AE gp120 Env in RV144 contained an N-terminal deletion of 11 amino acids in the HIV-1 sequence that enhanced exposure of these V1V2 epitopes (16).

Vaccinees with the highest binding antibody titers were more likely to be protected than those with lower titers, with a reasonably linear association between the peak concentration to the V1V2 scaffold and VE (Fig. 2A). Follow-up studies using a wide variety of V1V2 antigens including antigens derived from a variety of clade C isolates indicated similar associations between high binding to V1V2 and reduced HIV-1 acquisition (17). Overall, about 84% of vaccine recipients developed antibodies to the V1V2 loop (14). Those with the highest magnitude exhibited greater protection from infection; those with the highest titers to the original gp70-V1V2 protein in the upper third have a VE of 60% (12), compared to no protection for those with negative or lowest third titers (Fig. 2B), a result that was recapitulated for titer responses to eight other gp70-V1V2 proteins (average VE = 47% for the upper third of responders) (17). The concentration of IgG to the V1V2 correlates in RV144 was a median of 1.63 μg/ml, with those in the lower tertile below 0.98 μg/ml and those in the upper tertile, associated with a decrease in HIV infection, having a titer of at least 2.98 μg/ml (Fig. 2C). Notably, the V1V2 antibody response rate and magnitude waned over time in temporal association with the waning efficacy.

**Fig. 2. Estimated VE in RV144.** (A) Estimated VE in RV144 as a function of the level of IgG binding antibody to gp70-scaffolded V1V2 in a model assuming VE of 0% in vaccinees with no V1V2 antibodies (black line) and the distribution of IgG levels among vaccinees (histogram). MFI, mean fluorescence intensity. (B) Kaplan-Meier curve of the probability of acquiring HIV infection in vaccine recipients with low, medium, and high V1V2 scaffold IgG antibody responses, measured by binding antibody multiplex assay (BAMA) at week 26. (C) The median, upper, and lower bounds of antibody concentrations to three of the V1V2 antigens that were correlated to VE: the left panel shows the cross-clade clade B gp70 levels; the middle panel shows the V1V2 response to the AE isolate in the ALVAC vector used in RV144; and the right panel shows the V1V2 responses to the AE gp120 used in the protein boost in RV144. mAb, monoclonal antibody.
Epitope mapping of the antibodies to V1V2 indicated that much of the immune response was directed at a linear epitope interval including a lysine residue at amino acid 169 in the Env V2 region. Antibodies from RV144 vaccinees that bound to the K169 V2 region did not neutralize nor capture hard to neutralize (tier 2) AE viruses but did bind to Env on tier 2 AE virus–infected CD4 T cells and mediate ADCC. Although antibodies to the C1 region themselves did not correlate with decreased transmission risk (15), addition of conformational C1-specific antibodies from RV144-induced memory B cells to A244 CF01_AE–infected CD4 T cells synergized with RV144 V2 antibodies for enhanced ADCC activity (18). These data give rise to the hypothesis that one candidate of the protective anti–HIV-1 antibody effector function induced by the RV144 vaccine was a non–broadly neutralizing and most likely Fc receptor (FcR)–mediated action that included ADCC activity (see below) (19). Isolation of antibodies that bind to the V2 region around K169 demonstrated that all K169-directed antibodies from humans (20) and rhesus macaques (21) after the RV144 vaccine regimen use a λ light chain (CDR2) (complementarity determining region 2) glutamic acid–aspartic acid (ED) motif to bind to K169. Moreover, this λ light chain motif is conserved throughout primate phylogeny, suggesting an evolutionary advantage to this dominant recognition mode. The response to Env induced by the RV144 vaccine regimen was remarkably dominant to this light chain motif. It is of interest that V1V2 responses were not substantially elicited by the DNA/Ad5 regimen used in the HIV Vaccine Trials Network’s (HVTN’s) HVTN 505 vaccine trial, although these sequences were present in two of the three Env vaccine constructs (22). The low level of V1V2 scaffold responses in association with a vaccine regimen in which no protection was seen suggests the potential importance of responses to the V1V2 scaffold in protection against HIV acquisition. Finally, in the secondary analysis, strong but transient linear V2 IgG responses, especially against V2 in A244 CF01_AE, were associated with a lower risk of HIV-1 infection in RV144 vaccinees.

**LINKING OF THE IMMUNE RESPONSE WITH IMMUNE PRESSURE ON THE VIRUS**

Genetic sieve analysis of the viral isolates from subjects who became HIV-1–infected in RV144 revealed that isolates from vaccinated subjects were less likely to have a lysine at K169 of the Env V2 region than placebo recipients, and VE was significantly higher against HIV-1 manifesting a lysine at K169 than against HIV-1 with a different residue at position 169 (23). This lysine is found in more than 85% of circulating HIV-1 strains in Thailand, yet was present in only 66% of RV144 HIV-1–infected vaccine recipients, suggesting vaccine immune pressure at this region of the virus. Thus, both the immunological and virological data point to the V2 region of HIV-1 as a vulnerability point for the virus and a target site of protective antibodies associated with VE of the RV144 regimen.

A similar correlation between vaccine-induced antibody responses to the third variable (V3) loop region of the circulating A244 CF01_AE isolates in Thailand and genetic pressure on circulating strains of HIV-1 in vaccinees was also detected (24). The RV144 regimen elicited V3-specific antibodies at week 26 (peak point of antibody response, 2 weeks after the fourth dose) in 88 to 95% of recipients, depending upon the antigen used. Similar to the V2 antibody response, the V3 antibody response was largely cross-reactive among strains, and similar to the V2 antibody response, the V3 antibody levels markedly declined over time (reduction in prevalence at week 52 of 50 to 70% depending on the antigen). Past studies indicated that such antibodies may demonstrate some cross-clade in vitro neutralizing activity (25). Analogs of the viral isolates of the A244 CF01_AE breakthrough viruses from 43 vaccine and 66 placebo recipients demonstrated an 85% VE against viruses with amino acids mismatching the vaccine at V3 site 317 (P = 0.004 for higher VE than against F317 matched HIV-1), and 52% VE against viruses matching the vaccine at V3 site 307 (P = 0.004 for higher VE than against I307 mismatched HIV-1). When point mutations similar to those found in breakthrough isolates were made in the V3 crown at iso- leucine site 307, the titers of antibodies in RV144 vaccinees to the V3 crown were markedly reduced, demonstrating the specificity of the RV144 regimen to this region of V3 (24). Together, these data indicate that the RV144 regimen induced both V2- and V3-specific antibodies that imposed immune pressure on infectious viruses and provide strong support for antibody-mediated protection. These observations also provide both a qualitative and a quantitative basis for evaluating future vaccine regimens that should be considered for advanced clinical development.

**ISOTYPE-SPECIFIC ANTIBODIES AND PROTECTION**

Because IgG subclasses have been implicated in both viral- and vaccine-induced protection (26, 27), a detailed evaluation was initiated of IgG subclass–specific antibodies comparing the RV144 regimen with that of the ineffective AIDSVAX B/E gp120 regimen used in VAX003 and VAX004. ALVAC priming with gp120 boosting was associated with both significantly higher and broader IgG3 responses to the HIV-1 Env glycoprotein and significantly lower IgG2 and IgG4 response rates than gp120 vaccination alone (27, 28). IgG3 antibody response rates to V1V2 were higher in RV144 than in VAX003 recipients. Vaccinees with high IgG3 responses were shown to have higher ADCC antibodies, and IgG3 antibodies to the V1V2 region were associated with VE (RR = 0.32 for vaccines positively versus negatively responding to a gp70-V1V2 scaffold protein with a lysine placed at position 169; P = 0.003), especially to viruses in which V169K was present (27). IgG3 responses to HIV-1 Env declined rapidly over time from 79% prevalence at peak immunity (week 26) to 0% at year 1, correlating with the rapid loss of VE during this time period. Notably, there was no significant correlation between individuals with high V1V2 IgG3 responses and those with high IgG2 and IgG4 responses, suggesting that there are unique determinants to IgG isotypes. These associations between IgG3 antibodies to HIV-1 Env and protection offer a potential discrimination between ALVAC priming/gp120 versus gp120 alone. Subclass-specific antibody responses differ between rhesus macaques and humans; hence, evaluation of this potential correlate of risk must continue to be done within the context of human vaccine trials.

**IgA INHIBITORY ANTIBODIES**

One puzzling observation from the primary correlates of protection studies associated with RV144 was the strong direct correlation between high serum IgA antibodies to specific HIV-1 Envs and an increased risk of infection. This response was one of the strongest statistical associations influencing VE (estimated VE = 0% for the highest third IgA...
responding vaccinees compared to estimated VE = 43% for the lower two-thirds of IgA responding vaccinees (12). Subjects with IgA binding levels to HIV-1 Env >3000 MFI had a VE of 0%. Why certain serum IgA antibodies were associated with lack of protection was at first perplexing. Epitope mapping indicated that some of the serum IgA antibodies seen after vaccination bound to the same conformational C1 region of gp120 that ADCC antibodies were shown to bind (29, 30). Seventy-five percent to 90% of RV144 vaccinees exhibited ADCC activity after vaccination. Much of this activity was blocked by C1 region–specific antibodies to the A244 CF01_AE isolates, suggesting that the IgA antibodies inhibited functional ADCC activity, providing a direct hypothesis for the inverse correlation between high serum levels of IgA and increased HIV-1 acquisition. Moreover, natural IgA antibodies to the C1 region isolated from RV144 vaccinees also blocked the mediation of ADCC by RV144 IgG antibodies to the same epitope (30). Additional data supporting the presence of inhibitory antibodies are that individuals with low levels of IgA antibodies to Env also had high levels of antibodies to V3 peptides. VE approached 70% among the subgroup of vaccinees who had low to no serum IgA responses to gp120 and high V3 antibodies (15, 24). High serum IgA responses to HIV-1 gp120 were also seen with the DNA/Ad5 regimen used in the HVTN 505 vaccine trial, a regimen that showed no VE in MSM in the United States (22). Development of regimens that do not induce inhibitory antibodies provides a potential strategy for improving VE, especially if such alterations resulted in enhanced induction of functional antibodies that mediate ADCC or neutralization. One of the impediments to a more detailed evaluation of ADCC responses and HIV vaccine protection is the lack of information on the correlation of ADCC assays between nonhuman primates (NHPs) and humans. Greater attention to such issues may allow the field to pursue the observed connection between ADCC and VE more completely.

FcR FUNCTIONS AND THEIR ROLE IN HIV-1 ACQUISITION

In 2007, Hessel et al. demonstrated that the Fcγ receptor binding function of a monoclonal antibody to HIV-1 was critical for the ability of this antibody to protect against experimental challenge with simian/human immunodeficiency virus (SHIV) in a monkey model (31). More recently, in a paper evaluating the mechanisms by which a live, attenuated simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) vaccine protects against experimental SIV challenge, gp41 antibodies were concentrated by neonatal FcR in cervical and vaginal epithelium, and such local antibodies transported to epithelial surfaces through the neonatal FcR were an important correlate of protection (32). Moreover, a second correlate in this model was the preexistence of virus-specific immune complexes that correlated with the FcγRIIB inhibition in the epithelium lining the cervix, and these complexes blocked CD4+ T cell recruitment and likely inhibited virus expansion (33). In RV144, individuals with a CT or TT at a single-nucleotide polymorphism in the FCGR2C gene had an estimated 91% VE against HIV-1 with lysine at position 169 in the V2 loop, compared to 15% VE for individuals with a CC (19). This suggests that RV144 responses selectively blocked infection of HIV-1 isolates with lysine at position 169 only among CT or TT subjects (19). The prevalence of these polymorphisms is seen in only 28% of Thais and in an estimated 49% of South Africans (34), perhaps predicting higher efficacy for RV144-like vaccines in Africa. These data support the assertion that both vaccine-induced and host factors are important determinants of the non-neutralizing antibody effector function induced by RV144 vaccination. However, the mechanistic link between such a polymorphism and antibody-mediated immune response remains undefined.

PASSIVE PROTECTION STUDIES USING NON-NEUTRALIZING MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES AFTER RV144 VACCINATION

Although studies using neutralizing antibodies to HIV-1 have shown protection in NHP models, studies defining the efficacy of non-neutralizing antibodies in protection against experimental challenge in NHP models are incomplete (35). In established SIV infections in macaques, polyclonal non-neutralizing anti-SIV IgG, administered by passive infusion, induced ADCC and an associated decline in SIV viremia (36). Because the passively administered sera had low levels of neutralizing activity, the protective nature of ADCC only–mediating neutralization activity was not apparent. To explore whether non–broadly neutralizing antibodies can protect against rapid disease progression, Binley et al. (37) infused polyclonal anti-SIV IgG purified from macaques infected with mac251 SIV, at a concentration of 170 mg/kg, into two rhesus macaques who were rapid progressors infected with the mac251 SIV strain. After the infusion, the anti-Env antibody levels in these animals were temporarily restored to levels typically found in nonrapid progressors, and these antibodies killed SIV-infected cells, presumably through an effector function such as ADCC.

Although non-neutralizing ADCC–mediating monoclonal antibodies reactive with the A244 CF01_AE–infected tier 2 CD4+ T cells are available for testing in passive protection trials, these studies have been hindered by the lack of availability of R5 tier 2 SHIV-1s with the K169 and full V2 epitope of putatively protective V2 antibodies from RV144. SHIV-1s are under development (38, 39).

T CELL RESPONSES

Recent studies have shown that CD4+ T cell immune responses to HIV-1 Env independently influenced VE in the RV144 trial (12, 40). Sixty percent of RV144 recipients who had exhibited CD4+ T cell responses to Env recognized the V2 peptides, and intracellular cytokine staining confirmed that these responses were mediated by polyfunctional effector memory CD4+ T cells, which produced more than one cytokine in 58% of the samples, predominantly interleukin-2 (IL-2) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α). The predominant interferon-γ (IFN-γ) response (25%) was to the Env V2 region at amino acid positions 145 to 208. The main peptide recognized in the vaccine group contained the integrin αβ, binding motif, a region of the Env involved in the initial encounter of HIV-1 and CD4+ T cells (12). Using more sophisticated single–cell analyses, vaccinees with CD4+ T cells that secreted IL-2, TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-4, and CD154 to HIV-1 Env peptides had a reduced rate of infection (RR = 0.58; P = 0.006) versus those who did not make such a polyfunctional response. Moreover, this response was an independent correlate of infection after accounting for the primary correlates of IgG binding to V2 and IgA binding to Env (41). These data indicate the potential importance of initiating a strong helper T cell response with vaccination, and study of the CD4+ T cell–B cell interaction may provide insights into enhanced immunogenicity.
BUILDING UPON THE CORRELATES FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEXT-GENERATION VACCINES

With only one vaccine approach demonstrating efficacy against HIV acquisition, there is at present uncertainty as to whether the above-described correlates will be effective guideposts for a globally effective HIV vaccine (42). The differences between the immune responses in RV144 and those seen in HVTN 505 and VAX003, two VE trials with no observed efficacy against HIV-1 acquisition, provide indirect evidence of the potential importance of the types of non-neutralizing antibodies important in reducing HIV-1 acquisition. Thus, although the possibility of a false-positive result always exists in any given study, the confidence that RV144 demonstrated real efficacy has increased substantially on the basis of the viral sieve and immune correlate analyses. A series of recent studies in NHP with different vaccine prototypes such as a replication-deficient Ad26 alone or in combination with modified vaccinia Ankara (MVA) have shown a correlation between non-neutralizing antibodies and protection from experimental challenges with both SIV and SHIV (Table 1) (43). Addition of a trimeric gp120 protein boost increases several antibody effector functions and is associated with enhanced protection from experimental challenge (43–45). These NHP studies support the notion that enhancing antibody effector functions and CD4+ T cell responses offer the potential for providing HIV-1 VE.

It was recognized that a series of more rapid iterative trials were needed to address the multitude of scientific questions to be answered from the immune correlate analyses (46). This was best done by conducting test-of-concept efficacy trials in populations with higher acquisition rates than those in Thailand, such as in sub-Saharan Africa, where clade C viruses predominate (47). Because the antibody responses associated with protection in RV144 were predominantly clade-specific, the use of regimens that would provide clade C coverage should be used. Moreover, improving the durability of the Env-specific immune responses was essential (Fig. 1). Studies with HIV Env and other recombinant proteins have suggested that alternative adjuvants such as MF59 and monophosphoryl lipid A-like adjuvants such as AS01B can enhance the durability of immune responses over those seen with alum-adjuvanted proteins (48, 49). The degree of somatic hypermutation, breadth of

Table 1. Comparison of immune responses that influence VE in RV144 versus past and ongoing HIV vaccine trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immune response identified as a correlate in RV144</th>
<th>Responses in non-RV144 efficacy trials</th>
<th>Responses in vaccines in current development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total IgG to V1V2 scaffold</td>
<td>Lower in HVTN 505 compared with RV144</td>
<td>Higher titers in DNA/NYVAC/gp120 than RV144. Correlates of protection in heterologous NHP challenge studies with Ad26/Ad35 and Ad26/MVA studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum IgA to gp120 (higher IgA = lower VE)</td>
<td>Higher IgA (including IgA to A1ConEnv) in HVTN 505, compared to RV144</td>
<td>Administration of gp120 at onset of priming with NYVAC and DNA/NYVAC markedly lowers serum IgA to gp120. DNA/MVA priming has lower IgA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG3 to V1V2</td>
<td>Lower in HVTN 505 and VAX003 than RV144</td>
<td>Under evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCC activity</td>
<td>Minimal ADCC in HVTN 505</td>
<td>ADCC correlates with protection in NHP using Ad26 ± trimeric gp120. High ADCC in DNA/MVA regimen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1 neutralizing antibodies</td>
<td>Higher frequency in RV144 compared with HVTN 505</td>
<td>Clade C regions under study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High avidity to gp120</td>
<td>Not measured in HVTN 505 program. Env IgG avidity with low IgA correlated with decreased risk of infection in RV144</td>
<td>DNA/MVA-containing regimens have high avidity. Other products under study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+ T cells with polyfunctional response</td>
<td>Different cytokine profile in HVTN 505 versus RV144</td>
<td>DNA/NYVAC and Ad26/MVA increase prevalence and magnitude of Env-specific CD4+ T cells.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Post-RV144 vaccine combinations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improve frequency, magnitude, and polyfunctionality of CD4+ T cell response to HIV-1 Env products</th>
<th>Improve clade-specific antibody responses to gp120</th>
<th>Improve durability of antibody response through adjuvants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALVAC (ZM96) ± DNA NYVAC (ZM96) ± DNA Ad26 ± MVA</td>
<td>Bivalent clade C recombinant gp120 protein (strains 1086 and TV-1) construct gp140 clade C trimeric protein ± gp140 mosaic trimeric protein</td>
<td>Alum, MF59, AS01b Alum, AS01b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although these developments occur in defining non-neutralizing antibody approaches to HIV-1 protection, there is also a concerted international effort toward developing immunogens that will elicit broader neutralizing antibodies than currently demonstrated by immunization with any of the current vaccine prototypes (54). The lack of broadly neutralizing antibodies elicited by the RV144-like regimens is an acknowledged deficiency in the immune profile of these approaches. Enhancing basic and translational scientific programs in attempting to elicit such broadly neutralizing antibodies is an important component of the research agenda of the HIV vaccine effort. Recent developments in developing stable HIV-1 Env trimers provide evidence for progress in this area of research (55, 56). The continued elucidation of novel targets of binding anti–HIV-1 neutralizing antibodies is also providing new insights on how to develop immunogens that can elicit such antibodies. This agenda includes the use of passively administered broadly neutralizing antibodies on a 1 to 3 monthly basis (antibody-mediated prevention) to reduce HIV acquisition (57, 58). A test-of-concept study to demonstrate how effective such antibodies would be in reducing HIV acquisition, and what level of neutralizing activity is required to reduce HIV-1 acquisition, is an important milestone for the development of an effective neutralizing antibody–based vaccine.

In summary, the past 3 years have seen an unprecedented scientific effort that has provided a wealth of new information on the immune responses that are potentially associated with and responsible for protection from HIV acquisition. New understanding of regions of the HIV Env heretofore largely ignored (such as the V2 loop) and non-neutralizing antibody responses, as well as the role that CD4 T cells play in B cell maturation, are important concepts that have emerged from these studies. These data have provided hypotheses and new benchmarks for the development of new vaccine strategies (59). Whether increased VE will be achieved by these efforts remains to be determined; however, this collaborative effort has produced a momentum and series of immune targets that will hopefully lead to an effective global vaccine effort.
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